

**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH ON TUESDAY, 29 JUNE AT 1:30PM, ON
ENGINE SHED, SAND MARTIN HOUSE PETERBOROUGH**

**4.1 20/01026/FUL - LAND SOUTH OF LOVERS LANE SUTTON TO NENE VALLEY RAIL
STATION AT STIBBINGTON PETERBOROUGH**

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to go against the officers recommendation and **REFUSE** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (9 For, 2 Abstention) to **REFUSE** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Members agreed that the application was contrary to planning policy as follows:

- The amenity of the residents of the village of Sutton would be unacceptably impacted, therefore, the proposal was contrary to LP17.
- The proposal would be unacceptable to the landscape of Sutton village, specifically in relation to the installation of tarmac material proposed, which was alien to the landscape. Therefore, the proposal was contrary to LP16 and LP19.

**4.2 20/01746/LBC - PLANNING APPLICATION NENE VALLEY RAILWAY BRIDGE SUT
PETERBOROUGH**

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimous) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal was acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- It was considered that the work would not have an adverse impact on the character and appearance of the listed building and would accord with section 66(1) Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and would be in accordance with Peterborough Local Plan (2019) and the National Planning Policy Framework (Heritage considerations) (2019).

4.3 20/01070/FUL - 35 WESTGATE PETERBOROUGH PE1 1PZ

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to go against officer recommendations and **REFUSE** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (4 For, 1 Against, 5 Abstention) to **REFUSE** the planning permission.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The planning application was refused for the following reasons:

- The proposed taxi booking office, which would bring additional people into the area late at night, would result in the potential for crime and anti-social behaviour in the vicinity of Westgate and thereby would not comprise a positive contribution to the character of the area. The proposal would be contrary to Policies LP16 and LP17 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).

4.4 20/01707/R4FUL - NENE PARK ACADEMY, OUNDLE ROAD, PETERBOROUGH PE2 7EA

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to go against the officer recommendation and **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 For, 1 Against, 0 Abstention) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Subject to the imposition of the conditions, the proposal was acceptable under:

- LP16 and LP17 as the loss of amenity had not warranted the refusal of the planning application.

4.5 21/00170/FUL - 42 SHERBORNE ROAD DOGSTHORPE PETERBOROUGH PE1 4RJ

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 For, 1 Against, 0 Abstention) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASONS FOR THE DECISION

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- It was not considered the character and appearance of the surrounding area would not be adversely impacted by the proposed conversion of the dwelling into 2 flats, in accordance with Policy LP16 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019);
- The existing levels of neighbour amenity of the adjacent properties would not be adversely impacted by the proposed development, in accordance with Policy LP17 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019); and
- The adjacent public highway would not be adversely impacted by the development, and sufficient car parking is proposed in accordance with Policy LP13 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).